

Conversations with Council

Report Type: Form Results Summary

Date Range: 02-03-2020 - 02-04-2020

Exported: 17-04-2020 09:01:13

Attachment 2

Closed

Complete the Survey

[Animal Management Subordinate Local Law Amendments 2020](#)


132
Contributors

150
Contributions

Contribution Summary

1. Do you live in the Gladstone Region?


Radio Buttons | Skipped: 0 | Answered: 150 (100%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	98.67%	148
No	1.33%	2
Total	100.00%	150

2. Do you own or keep a pet/s (cat/dog/bird etc)?


Radio Buttons | Skipped: 0 | Answered: 150 (100%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	89.33%	134
No	10.67%	16
Total	100.00%	150


3. Do you own livestock, poultry or other larger animals (cattle/horses/goats/chicken/geese etc)?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 1 | Answered: 149 (99.3%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	20.13%	30
No	79.87%	119
Total	100.00%	149

4. Do you support allowing up to two (2) dogs in multi-residential premises by permit?
Radio Buttons | Skipped: 1 | Answered: 149 (99.3%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	65.10%	97
No	13.42%	20
Neutral	21.48%	32
Total	100.00%	149

5. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 129 | Answered: 21 (14%)

Many dogs are quite suitable to living in apartments/unit/townhouses so permitting will allow families to stay together in more affordable accommodation on a case by case basis.

Contribution 21 of 21 | 18 March, 2020

Depending on size/breed & if premises is suitable for housing dogs

Contribution 20 of 21 | 15 March, 2020

No pmp permit ermit

Contribution 19 of 21 | 15 March, 2020

It would depend on the breed of the dog, although having been in Europe many people have pets in apartments and the mental and emotional benefit of having pets should be considered.

Contribution 18 of 21 | 15 March, 2020

Typically these are small breed dogs that bark a lot. Cats shouldn't be excluded

Contribution 17 of 21 | 14 March, 2020

Depends on the breed

Contribution 16 of 21 | 14 March, 2020

Your proposals are very dictatorial. It's not very democratic. Treat people like idiots expect to get idiots.

Contribution 15 of 21 | 13 March, 2020

1 dog should be enough. Imagine multiresidential if everyone had 2 dogs, a nightmare

Contribution 14 of 21 | 13 March, 2020

I Don understand the implications

Contribution 13 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

No permit for 2 dogs. Three dogs yes. To have a permit for 2 dogs is ridiculous! Most people have 2 dogs so they can keep each other company whilst the owners are at work.

Contribution 12 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

It seems to work fine in other places

Contribution 11 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

3 dogs if one is an old rescue dog

Contribution 10 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

If you provide appropriate care for your dogs and have a secure yard 3 should be allowed
Contribution 9 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

More animals if fostering
Contribution 8 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

You are already allowed to have 2 dogs in residential premises.
Contribution 7 of 21 | 12 March, 2020

Dogs will always bark and hence will annoy people at sometime. The more dogs, the louder the barking.
Contribution 6 of 21 | 11 March, 2020

Providing that there is a size and noise limit, especially in units.
Contribution 5 of 21 | 6 March, 2020

Nobody should be homeless because they have animals, and no animals should be euthanised because homeowners won't allow them in rentals
Contribution 4 of 21 | 6 March, 2020


There are far too many dogs in the area already. They pollute suburbs with dog feces and noise, and they scare people from walking down footpaths. Rarely is a dog owner a responsible dog owner and the less dogs in a town the better for people and dogs.
Contribution 3 of 21 | 6 March, 2020

Really depends on the residential area and the yard - but if these are taken into consideration for the permit. I am for it. Also if neighbours are taken into consideration as well.
Contribution 2 of 21 | 2 March, 2020

Showing 20 latest contributions only. Please see the data results for all contributions to this question.

6. Do you support allowing camel rides, pony rides, Old Macdonald's Farm and similar services in public park areas as part of larger community events?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 0 | Answered: 150 (100%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	80.67%	121
No	10.67%	16
Neutral	8.67%	13
Total	100.00%	150

7. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 136 | Answered: 14 (9.3%)

As long as they are cleaned up after like any other domestic animal, why not!

Contribution 14 of 14 | 18 March, 2020

Donkey Rides

Contribution 13 of 14 | 17 March, 2020

I do not support camel or pony rides, but i do support petting zoos.

Contribution 12 of 14 | 17 March, 2020

So long as they properly control the animal during the event and clean up effectively afterwards. GRC should communicate expectations of this to users and inspect after event for compliance.

Contribution 11 of 14 | 13 March, 2020

Animal welfare not for entertainment

Contribution 10 of 14 | 13 March, 2020

It depends if these animals are all fully vaccinated and in good health to avoid spreading of potential zoonoses.

Contribution 9 of 14 | 12 March, 2020

Animal cruelty.

Contribution 8 of 14 | 12 March, 2020

Why not

Contribution 7 of 14 | 12 March, 2020

Animals do not enjoy this. Why torture them? People used to ride turtles on Heron Island too. Luckily we've seen the light there. You can measure the moral progress of a nation by the way it treats its animals.

Contribution 6 of 14 | 6 March, 2020

Absolutely not.

Contribution 5 of 14 | 6 March, 2020

The rest of the world is moving towards banning these forms of animal abuse, it would be very antiquated of us to willingly increase exploitation

Contribution 4 of 14 | 6 March, 2020


Yes, better to see these animals than cats and dogs everywhere and rarely does the cat and dog owner abide with Council regulations.

Contribution 3 of 14 | 6 March, 2020

As long as they don't encroach on other stall holders and parking area.
Contribution 2 of 14 | 4 March, 2020

I do not see harm. Children who do not have the opportunity to experience these animals on farms, are able to learn and grown from these experiences.
Contribution 1 of 14 | 2 March, 2020

8. Do you support Boyne River Beach (from Wyndham Park to the Lilley's Beach) being a dog off-leash area all year round?
Radio Buttons | Skipped: 2 | Answered: 148 (98.7%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	70.27%	104
No	14.19%	21
Neutral	15.54%	23
Total	100.00%	148

9. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 133 | Answered: 17 (11.3%)

Lilley's Beach is a Turtle nesting beach. Should be on-leash during turtle nesting season.
Contribution 17 of 17 | 31 March, 2020

Adding more dog friendly areas in the region will always be a plus
Contribution 16 of 17 | 18 March, 2020

Would this include Lily's beach? That area is also used by nesting turtles so dogs should be leashed during turtle season if so.
Contribution 15 of 17 | 15 March, 2020

The demographic using this area generally "loose" with their pet minding skills
Contribution 14 of 17 | 14 March, 2020

Aggressive dogs on leash
Contribution 13 of 17 | 14 March, 2020

Absolutely not I walk my dogs there everyday and have had so many close calls with vicious dogs
Contribution 12 of 17 | 13 March, 2020

Migratory shore bird area
Contribution 11 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

Given the amount of children in this area, dogs on leash is more suitable
Contribution 10 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

On leash area
Contribution 9 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

Should only be on a leash, thats how you get dog attacks
Contribution 8 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

Don't care I'm in Agnes
Contribution 7 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

BUT dogs must be under voice control if they are off-leash in any public area - including public beaches.
Contribution 6 of 17 | 12 March, 2020

This is not a highly populated area so dogs should not be a concern.

Contribution 5 of 17 | 11 March, 2020

Not in turtle season, as there are nesting sites there.

Contribution 4 of 17 | 6 March, 2020

Owners do not pick up dog poo and should be restricted to a small area and forced fines applied.

Contribution 3 of 17 | 6 March, 2020

Rarely are dogs in off-leash areas under the control of the dog owner, and these areas become out of bounds to the public. These off leash area are a putrid, writhing mess of dog feces and uncontrolled dogs.


Contribution 2 of 17 | 6 March, 2020

I take my dog there and leave him on a leash until I know what other dogs are around. As an owner you need to be aware of your surroundings.

Contribution 1 of 17 | 2 March, 2020

10. Given the high cost of public auctions, do you support Council being able to sell or re-home unclaimed stock without the need for a public auction provided that the process is publicly open and transparent?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 0 | Answered: 150 (100%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	76.00%	114
No	8.67%	13
Neutral	15.33%	23
Total	100.00%	150

11. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 144 | Answered: 6 (4%)

As long as the process is open and transparent - utilising social media and the likes will benefit this process

Contribution 6 of 6 | 18 March, 2020

I believe the cost is to high, and re home, animals should be case by case and for a small fee. \$400 for a 10 year old dog is ridiculous. And for the more than 2 dog policy to be wavered when taking in an old dog

Contribution 5 of 6 | 12 March, 2020

No the council should not sell stock. Council rips everyone off enough already

Contribution 4 of 6 | 12 March, 2020

Provided it is fair and unbiased.

Contribution 3 of 6 | 6 March, 2020


Depends on who you are selling to.

Contribution 2 of 6 | 6 March, 2020

It would be good to let the public know in advance on what is for sale.

Contribution 1 of 6 | 4 March, 2020

12. Do you support Barney Point Park, Prince Regent Esplanade and Friend Park Barney Point Gladstone changing from prohibited areas for dogs to on-leash areas for dogs?
 Radio Buttons | Skipped: 1 | Answered: 149 (99.3%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	77.18%	115
No	6.71%	10
Neutral	16.11%	24
Total	100.00%	149

13. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 144 | Answered: 6 (4%)

Very underused beach's locally so will be fantastic for dog owners to not have to travel so far to Boyne island for beaches
Contribution 6 of 6 | 18 March, 2020

It's Barney point for goodness sake - dogs on leads are the least of the problems there
Contribution 5 of 6 | 12 March, 2020

Don't care don't live there
Contribution 4 of 6 | 12 March, 2020


Yes, provided that dog owners are forced to pay fines when not picking up poo
Contribution 3 of 6 | 6 March, 2020

Rarely is any dog on a leash in the designated "on leash" areas, and it is becoming too dangerous to go onto beaches, walking tracks and public parks. Council signs are a total farce and rarely does a dog owner every read them or follow regulations.
Contribution 2 of 6 | 6 March, 2020

This is giving more space for local to walk their dogs
Contribution 1 of 6 | 2 March, 2020

14. Do you support that during turtle nesting season the area below becomes 'Prohibited Area' for dogs and on-leash the rest of the year?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 1 | Answered: 149 (99.3%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	85.91%	128
No	10.74%	16
Neutral	3.36%	5
Total	100.00%	149

*Refers to Springs Beach south to Red Rock.

15. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 128 | Answered: 22 (14.7%)

prefer off leash for rest of year

Contribution 22 of 22 | 31 March, 2020

Most

Contribution 21 of 22 | 15 March, 2020

Absolutely, BUT council needs to increase animal control/compliance to deter people that disregard these laws

Contribution 20 of 22 | 15 March, 2020

Must remain prohibited

Contribution 19 of 22 | 14 March, 2020

Dog on leash rule will still protect turtles

Contribution 18 of 22 | 14 March, 2020

Same rules as Chinamans Beach

Contribution 17 of 22 | 14 March, 2020

BIG YES

Contribution 16 of 22 | 13 March, 2020

Hi

Contribution 15 of 22 | 13 March, 2020

Total all year prohibition

Contribution 14 of 22 | 13 March, 2020

I am not in support for on leash the rest of the year, dogs should be able to run free and enjoy themselves, owners would never be far from dogs. I do support prohibited in turtle season however.

Contribution 13 of 22 | 13 March, 2020

Unnecessary to be on leash

Contribution 12 of 22 | 13 March, 2020

As there are not recreational areas for dogs it should be on leash during the neastings and off leash the rest of the year

Contribution 11 of 22 | 12 March, 2020

South of deep water national park to baffle creek needs to be prohibited area during nesting season. Beach in front of deep water national park to be dogs prohibited all the time
Contribution 10 of 22 | 12 March, 2020

These areas should be prohibited area for dogs all year round
Contribution 9 of 22 | 12 March, 2020

Not having owned a dog for the past 12 years, do council still provide collar tags for registered dogs?
Contribution 8 of 22 | 12 March, 2020

Seasonal changes introduce the risk of people being unsure - better to just have it as a prohibited area all year.
Contribution 7 of 22 | 12 March, 2020

Council need to be clear on the dates of turtle nesting and have appropriate signage.
Contribution 6 of 22 | 10 March, 2020

Definitely.
Contribution 5 of 22 | 6 March, 2020


This question shouldn't be up to the public, leave it to conservationists
Contribution 4 of 22 | 6 March, 2020

What a joke. Not one dog on nearby Chinaman's Beach is ever on a leash, and right through the turtle nesting season dogs are taken onto this beach daily. Dog owners in the area disregard any Council regulation.
Contribution 3 of 22 | 6 March, 2020

Showing 20 latest contributions only. Please see the data results for all contributions to this question.

16. Do you support Chinamans Beach Agnes Water remaining a prohibited area for dogs during turtle nesting season but it being designated as an off-leash for the balance of the year?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 2 | Answered: 148 (98.7%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	82.43%	122
No	10.14%	15
Neutral	7.43%	11
Total	100.00%	148

17. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 135 | Answered: 15 (10%)

It should not be prohibited in turtle season just closed to dogs at night.

Contribution 15 of 15 | 31 March, 2020

All year around

Contribution 14 of 15 | 24 March, 2020

Absolutely, BUT council needs to increase animal control/ compliance during this time to deter those who think the rules don't apply to them.

Contribution 13 of 15 | 15 March, 2020

Must remain prohibited

Contribution 12 of 15 | 14 March, 2020

Dog on leash rule will still protect turtles

Contribution 11 of 15 | 14 March, 2020

As per above

Contribution 10 of 15 | 13 March, 2020

Definitely

Contribution 9 of 15 | 13 March, 2020

So long as the dogs are controlled and GRC conduct inspections for compliance during turtle nesting season

Contribution 8 of 15 | 13 March, 2020

As there are not recreational areas for dogs it should be on leash during the neastings and off leash the rest of the year

Contribution 7 of 15 | 12 March, 2020

Needs to be patrolled

Contribution 6 of 15 | 12 March, 2020

These areas should be prohibited area for dogs all year round

Contribution 5 of 15 | 12 March, 2020

Seasonal changes introduce the risk of people being unsure - better to just have it as a prohibited area all year.

Contribution 4 of 15 | 12 March, 2020

On least would be ok. Again, need to force owners to pick up poo.

Contribution 3 of 15 | 6 March, 2020

Not one dog on Chinaman's Beach is ever on a leash, and right through the turtle nesting season dogs are taken onto this beach daily. Dog owners in the area disregard any Council regulation. It is becoming too dangerous for people to go onto this beach


Contribution 2 of 15 | 6 March, 2020

Once again these laws need to be enforced as we have many irresponsible dog owners visiting our area who have no regard for the current signage

Contribution 1 of 15 | 2 March, 2020

18. Do you support dogs being completely excluded from the Millennium Esplanade Parklands at Tannum Sands (except on the roadway)?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 3 | Answered: 147 (98%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	29.93%	44
No	54.42%	80
Neutral	15.65%	23
Total	100.00%	147

19. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 117 | Answered: 33 (22%)

Tannum sands is such a coastal tourist town and so many people both locals and tourists have dogs. Allowing dogs on leash as a minimum should be considered to fit in with the demographic of the town

Contribution 33 of 33 | 18 March, 2020

This is a popular footpath for walking dogs and as long as they are kept on a leash I don't see the issue

Contribution 32 of 33 | 17 March, 2020

This is a crazy idea!

Contribution 31 of 33 | 15 March, 2020

I am at the esplanade and the beach most days. Have never seen a dog inspector in 18 months. Dogs are always at the playground and on the beach where they are prohibited. Would be nice if the current rules were enforced.

Contribution 30 of 33 | 15 March, 2020

Yes. Needs to be policed properly

Contribution 29 of 33 | 15 March, 2020

On leash only

Contribution 28 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Its a lovely place to run and I would love to run with my dog as a safety precaution. Long as the dog is controlled on leash and not allowed on family grassed areas, I don't see why they would be prohibited from the area.

Contribution 27 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Should be able to if on a leash and clean up after their dogs

Contribution 26 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Define "area" as not explained, dog on leash areas of beach are only accessible by formed path ways. I have a suggestion, how about dogs from out

Contribution 25 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Definitely NOT - On-leash should be allowed through parkland and has access to water for them, roadways are far too hot in summer.

Contribution 24 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Should be allowed on leash

Contribution 23 of 33 | 14 March, 2020

Dogs on lead should not be a problem . Great area to walk family with dog on lead . Maybe not when BAM is on - no pets

Contribution 22 of 33 | 13 March, 2020

And also have it properly enforced

Contribution 21 of 33 | 13 March, 2020

I also think dogs on leash should be allowed at 1770 headland walks

Contribution 20 of 33 | 13 March, 2020

Would like a map of where the esplanade ends. Wish access to the creek and wild cattle island at the end. Currently don't take dogs into the park as signs state prohibited. So this is confusing as worded

Contribution 19 of 33 | 13 March, 2020

On leash should be acceptable in this area. This is a family area and pets are often part of the family.

Contribution 18 of 33 | 13 March, 2020

I believe dogs should be allowed at tannum sands millennium esplanade parklands while on leash.

Contribution 17 of 33 | 12 March, 2020

It is unsafe in that area to walk a dog on the road. You should be able to utilise the footpaths. Especially if you have children who have gone for a walk with you and then you stop at the playground.

Contribution 16 of 33 | 12 March, 2020

No this is ridiculous ! Pets are part Of the family- they should be allowed to be included in family events on leash. This area is also so nice for the locals to enjoy with their Loved pets

Contribution 15 of 33 | 12 March, 2020


On leash - it is a great walking pathed area

Contribution 14 of 33 | 12 March, 2020

Showing 20 latest contributions only. Please see the data results for all contributions to this question.

20. Provided Council manages enclosures, nuisance complaints and sets limits on the total no. of animals kept on site, do you support allowing Caravan Park operators to decide on how many dogs and cats they will allow on the site?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 5 | Answered: 145 (96.7%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	73.10%	106
No	12.41%	18
Neutral	14.48%	21
Total	100.00%	145

21. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 142 | Answered: 8 (5.3%)

They know better than council .
Contribution 8 of 8 | 24 March, 2020

Double edged sword this one. Some park operators would manage this well, others would take the power and run with it so to speak by putting size and breed limits in place which is truly not dog friendly. It could be detrimental to the regions tourism.
Contribution 7 of 8 | 18 March, 2020

They will do anything for money
Contribution 6 of 8 | 15 March, 2020

There must be some over riding Council limits imposed and not left up to individual Caravan Park operators.
Contribution 5 of 8 | 13 March, 2020

If the dogs ate under responsibility of the owner no one should limit their freedom
Contribution 4 of 8 | 12 March, 2020


Space is too small to keep dogs & cats in and around a caravan and should not be allowed at all.
Contribution 3 of 8 | 11 March, 2020

Cats roaming free are a big threat to native wildlife.
Contribution 2 of 8 | 6 March, 2020

If the Caravan Park operator is a cat or dog owner they will likly allow unlimited cats and dogs and the place becomes a hell hole, unfit for any lifeform including cats and dogs.
Contribution 1 of 8 | 6 March, 2020

22. Do you generally support the Animal Management (Amendment) Subordinate Local Law (No.1) 2020?

Radio Buttons | Skipped: 5 | Answered: 145 (96.7%)



Answer choices	Percent	Count
Yes	60.00%	87
No	13.79%	20
Neutral	26.21%	38
Total	100.00%	145

23. Comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 138 | Answered: 12 (8%)

National Parks laws are already in place council has no right to mess with Federal law
Contribution 12 of 12 | 24 March, 2020

I have not familiarised myself with this and have no opinions regarding it.
Contribution 11 of 12 | 17 March, 2020

Not all animals with wings can fly.
Contribution 10 of 12 | 13 March, 2020

Some proposals are okay some are not
Contribution 9 of 12 | 13 March, 2020

Except not allowing dogs at the Millennium Esplanade seems abit extreme for a public park.
Contribution 8 of 12 | 13 March, 2020

In Agnes there are not facilities Or recreational parks for dog and dogowners..only a dog Catcher acting as a sheriff in town the money spent for the council taxes regarding the dogs are not returned in a satisfactory way to justify them.
Contribution 7 of 12 | 12 March, 2020

This question should not be here
Contribution 6 of 12 | 12 March, 2020

Do not like dogs (not service) being allowed into shops. Bunnings is a good example- this is a public area, that includes service of food. Should not be allowed
Contribution 5 of 12 | 12 March, 2020

With the exception of Millennium Esplanade needing to be a dog on lead area
Contribution 4 of 12 | 12 March, 2020

I have lived in Tannum Sands for many years and used to love walking my dog along the pathways and beach at Canoe Point. This is no longer possible due to irresponsible dog owners who have their animals off-leash without control.
Contribution 3 of 12 | 12 March, 2020

I do not support the commercial use of animals.
Contribution 2 of 12 | 6 March, 2020

The council staff have proven they have no competency to enforce any council regulation no matter what it is, and the continued employment of these current council staff is placing the public and wildlife at increasing risk.

24. Would you like to provide any other feedback or comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 130 | Answered: 20 (13.3%)

No

Contribution 20 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

No

Contribution 19 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Please provide details of how council will monitor and enforce

Contribution 18 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Please provide details of how council will monitor and enforce

Contribution 17 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

People are to uptight. Bigger things to worry about in this world. Always have lead with me just incase someone is not good with dog licks/jumps

Contribution 16 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

People are to uptight. Bigger things to worry about in this world. Always have lead with me just incase someone is not good with dog licks/jumps

Contribution 15 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Nudists bring money don't descrimanate they have pets to

Contribution 14 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Nudists bring money don't descrimanate they have pets to

Contribution 13 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Better signage about where dogs are permitted would be good, particularly when boarders national parks or conservation parks where dogs are not permitted

Contribution 12 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Better signage about where dogs are permitted would be good, particularly when boarders national parks or conservation parks where dogs are not permitted

Contribution 11 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I am sick of walking in the morning and coming across dogs that are not on leases and also not picking up their poo especially in parks

Contribution 10 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I am sick of walking in the morning and coming across dogs that are not on leases and also not picking up their poo especially in parks

Contribution 9 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

More policing of on leash areas - the amount of dogs that run off lead in public areas is increasing, as is the shit they leave behind
Contribution 8 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

More policing of on leash areas - the amount of dogs that run off lead in public areas is increasing, as is the shit they leave behind
Contribution 7 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Registrations of dogs/cats should mean when collected by accidentally getting out no fee to remove from
Contribution 6 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Registrations of dogs/cats should mean when collected by accidentally getting out no fee to remove from
Contribution 5 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I also would strongly support more secure off leash exercise areas, with designated small and large dogs zones.
Contribution 4 of 20 | 11 March, 2020

I also would strongly support more secure off leash exercise areas, with designated small and large dogs zones.
Contribution 3 of 20 | 11 March, 2020

Due to apathy of Council staff it is becoming too dangerous to walk down a street, walk along a Council walking track or walk along a beach. Unrestrained and off leash dogs are everywhere and rarely does a dog owner show any concern for the public
Contribution 2 of 20 | 6 March, 2020

Due to apathy of Council staff it is becoming too dangerous to walk down a street, walk along a Council walking track or walk along a beach. Unrestrained and off leash dogs are everywhere and rarely does a dog owner show any concern for the public
Contribution 1 of 20 | 6 March, 2020

25. Would you like to provide any other feedback or comments:

Short Text | Skipped: 130 | Answered: 20 (13.3%)

No

Contribution 20 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

No

Contribution 19 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Please provide details of how council will monitor and enforce

Contribution 18 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Please provide details of how council will monitor and enforce

Contribution 17 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

People are to uptight. Bigger things to worry about in this world. Always have lead with me just incase someone is not good with dog licks/jumps

Contribution 16 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

People are to uptight. Bigger things to worry about in this world. Always have lead with me just incase someone is not good with dog licks/jumps

Contribution 15 of 20 | 13 March, 2020

Nudists bring money don't descrimanate they have pets to

Contribution 14 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Nudists bring money don't descrimanate they have pets to

Contribution 13 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Better signage about where dogs are permitted would be good, particularly when boarders national parks or conservation parks where dogs are not permitted

Contribution 12 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Better signage about where dogs are permitted would be good, particularly when boarders national parks or conservation parks where dogs are not permitted

Contribution 11 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I am sick of walking in the morning and coming across dogs that are not on leases and also not picking up their poo especially in parks

Contribution 10 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I am sick of walking in the morning and coming across dogs that are not on leases and also not picking up their poo especially in parks

Contribution 9 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

More policing of on leash areas - the amount of dogs that run off lead in public areas is increasing, as is the shit they leave behind
Contribution 8 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

More policing of on leash areas - the amount of dogs that run off lead in public areas is increasing, as is the shit they leave behind
Contribution 7 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Registrations of dogs/cats should mean when collected by accidentally getting out no fee to remove from
Contribution 6 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

Registrations of dogs/cats should mean when collected by accidentally getting out no fee to remove from
Contribution 5 of 20 | 12 March, 2020

I also would strongly support more secure off leash exercise areas, with designated small and large dogs zones.
Contribution 4 of 20 | 11 March, 2020

I also would strongly support more secure off leash exercise areas, with designated small and large dogs zones.
Contribution 3 of 20 | 11 March, 2020

Due to apathy of Council staff it is becoming too dangerous to walk down a street, walk along a Council walking track or walk along a beach. Unrestrained and off leash dogs are everywhere and rarely does a dog owner show any concern for the public
Contribution 2 of 20 | 6 March, 2020

Due to apathy of Council staff it is becoming too dangerous to walk down a street, walk along a Council walking track or walk along a beach. Unrestrained and off leash dogs are everywhere and rarely does a dog owner show any concern for the public
Contribution 1 of 20 | 6 March, 2020